Correlation between CGM-Derived Mean Glycemia and Measured Hemoglobin A1c in the Real World

Edith Angellotti MD, Sangeetha Muppavarapu, MD, Richard Siegel, MD and Anastassios G. Pittas MD MS ^Tufts Medical Center, Boston, MA

Background

Results

A published regression equation converts continuous glucose monitoring (CGM)derived mean glycemia into an estimate of laboratory-measured HbA1c, termed glucose management indicator (GMI)¹. Whether such GMI formulas differ by CGM device is not known.

Objectives

(1) To determine whether the regression equation to compute the GMI is different for the FreeStyle Libre CGM compared to a published equation, which is based on Dexcom CGM technology. (2) To evaluate differences of the regression equation to compute GMI among different races.

Observational study using electronic health record (EHR) data among patients with diabetes and a minimum of 10 days of sensor glucose data collected with FreeStyle Libre CGM (Personal or Pro). For this abstract, we restricted the analysis to patients with measurement of HbA1c within +/-1 day of the CGM download date. We plotted HbA1c and CGM mean glucose and derived a Libre-specific regression equation. We compared the GMI derived from the Libre-specific equation (GMI_I) with the published formula (GMI_p).

Patient characteristics [N=103] Demographic Characteristics Clinical Characteristics CGM Data ge, years 62 Body Mass Index, lb/inch2 30.0±6.4 FreeStyle Libre Pro, no (%) 61 (59.2) Diabetes type, no (%) omen, no (%) 35 (34) eStyle Libre Personal, no (% 42 (40.8) 13 (12.6) ce/Ethnicity, no (% Type 1 ays with CGM data, 26 (10-90) White Type 2 78 (75.7) GM Mean glu 174±52 9 (8.7) Black or Africa 20 (19.4) Type 2, insuli ML (%) 7.5±1.2 Asian (Chinese or Vietnamese) Other 3 3(2.9)

2(1.9) HbA1c vs. Libre CGM-derived mean

22 (21.4)

7 (6.8)

ars of diagn

Hemoglobin A1c. %2



lispanic ther/Linknow





HbA1c vs. Libre CGM-derived mean glucose concentration - Asian patients only [N=22]



Typical CGM- derived mean glucose (mg/dL)	Entire Cohort		Race specific sub-groups		
	GMI _P	GMIL	GMI _L White	GMI _L Black	GMI _L Asian
100	5.7	6.4	6.1	6.8	6.5
125	6.3	6.9	6.7	7.2	7.0
150	6.9	7.4	7.2	7.6	7.6
175	7.5	7.9	7.8	8.0	8.1
200	8.1	8.4	8.3	8.5	8.6
225	8.7	8.8	8.9	8.9	9.1
250	9.3	9.3	9.4	9.3	9.7
275	9.9	9.8	10.0	9.7	10.2
300	10.5	10.3	10.5	10.1	10.7

.....

disease (n=1), pre

COM-derived mean glucose (mg/uL)	GMIn	GMIL	r value
Entire Cohort (n=103)	7.5	7.9	0.01
White patients (n=52)	7.6	7.9	0.27
Black-African American (n=20)	7.4	8.0	0.14
Asian (n=22)	7.2	7.9	0.03

Comparison of published GMI vs Libre specific GMI

Limitations

Our population [mainly type 2 diabetes, mean number of days with CGM prior to HbA1c 26 (range 10-90)] differs from the one used to compute the published GMI equation [mainly type 1 diabetes, mean number of days with CGM prior to HbA1c 48 (range 13-89)].

The GMI derived from a Libre-specific regression equation differs from the published GMI based on data collected with different devices. GMI₁ also appears to vary by race. Development of a device-specific and race-specific GMI may be warranted.

References

1Bergenstal RM, et al. Glucose Management Indicator (GMI): A No Term for Estimating A1C From Continuous Glucose Monit Diabetes Care, 2018:41(11):2275-80,

Results (cont.)

Medical Center



15 (<1-50)

7.8±1.4

CM LOM C LCOM